## POST-WAR RECONSTRUCTION SCHEME

**745.** മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴത്തശ്ശൻ: താഴെപറയുന്ന ചോദ്യത്തിന്മേ ഗവൺമെണ്ടു സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗ്രാമോദ്ധാരണമന്ത്രിയുടെ കഴിഞ്ഞ ബഡ്ജറ്റിൽ സൂചിപ്പിച്ച പ്രകാരം ഗ്രാമോദ്ധാരണപരമായ എന്തെല്ലാം നടപടികൾ ആവിഷ്കരിച്ചുകഴിഞ്ഞു. ആ പദ്ധതികൾ ഓരോന്നും ഇപ്പോൾ ഏതു ഘട്ടത്തിലാണിരിക്കുന്നതു് ?

മിസ്റ്റർ പറമ്പി ലോനപ്പൻ: ബഡ്ജറ്റ് പ്രസംഗത്തിൽ സൂചിപ്പിച്ച പ്രകാരം ഗ്രാമോദ്ധാരണപരമായി പദ്ധതികൾ ആവിഷ്കരിക്കുന്നതിനായി ഒരു കമ്മിറ്റിയെ രൂപീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടു്.

## VADAKKANCHERI PUBLIC LIBRARY

**746. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan** *(Vadakkancheri):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) what is the amount of grant given to the Public Library at Vadakkancheri;
(*b*) in what form is it given i.e., as money or as books;
(*c*) what is the nature of the provisions in the Budget as books or as money?

**Mr. Parambi Lonappen** *(Minister for Rural Development):*

(*a*) Rs. 75,
(*b*) Rs. 25 in cash towards running expenses and Rs. 50 in the form of books.
(*c*) Cost of books to be supplied to the libraries at Rs. 50 each and running expenses at Rs. 25 each,

**747. Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe** *(Kunnamkulam):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether there were any petitions for digging a canal of about half a mile in length from "ചിറത്തപാടം" to "വട്ടകായൽപാടം" near Pazhanji.

(*b*) if so, how many and on what dates;
(*c*) what replies were given to those petitions;
(*d*) is not the "Puncha (പുഞ്ച) cultivation in "വട്ടകായൽ" seriously arrested every year due to water scarcity?

**Mr. Parambi Lonappen** *(Minister for Rural Development):*

(*a*) and (*b*) There was a petition, dated 29th Makaram 1113 addressed to the Chief Engineer requesting for opening the canal.

(*c*) The petitioners were directed by the Chief Engineer to approach the Director of Public Health and Panchayats in the matter as the work comes under Minor Irrigation.

(*d*) In some years scarcity of water was felt in the lands.

**748. Mr. K. Balakrishna Menon** *(Cheruthuruthi):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether the Public Library at Vadakkancheri is a Rural Library or a Major Library;
(*b*) whether the grants for the years 1118, 1119 and 1120 were paid;
(*c*) if so, when;
(*d*) whether the grants were paid in money or money's worth of books?

**Mr. Parambi Lonappen** *(Minister for Rural Development):*

(*a*) The library at Vadakkancheri is neither a rural library nor a major rural library,
(*b*) Grants for the years 1118, 1119 and 1120 were paid to the library.
(*c*) Grant for the year 1118, was paid on 6th Vrischigam 1119 and that of 1119 on 14th Mithunam 1119 and that of 1120 on 4th Edavam 1120,
(*d*) Books and money were given to the library out of 1118 grant. But in 1119 and 1120 an amount of Rs. 25 for each year could only be paid to the library.

## BUND SLUICE—ELANKUNNAPUZHA VILLAGE

**749. Mr. P. T. Jacob** *(Latin-Christians Mattancheri)* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether there was an order from the Panchayat Director Ref. L, Dis. 1541/19, dated 14th Makaram 1119, to the effect that a Bund Sluice will be put up in Survey No. 637 in the Elankunnapuzha Village.

(*b*) whether the Honb'le Minister was pleased to visit the above site and a stay order was issued to stop the work of bund in another Survey number, if so, why;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(c) had not the Panchayat passed estimates for a Bund sluice in survey in Survey No. 637 and what was the complaint from the people of the said Panchayat which caused the issue of the above order stopping the work of the bund?

**Mr. Parambi Lonappen** *(Minister for Rural Development)*: (a) There was an order dated 14th Makaram 1119, L.Dis. 1541/19, from the Director of Panchayats, but the contents of the orders is not available since the file has been destroyed.

(b) Yes. There were complaints against the site proposed for the bund and sluice.

(c) The estimate passed by the panchayat was for bund and sluice, Kurichipadam chira and not for any specific sluice in Survey No. 637. The complaint was against the site proposed for putting up the bund.

## INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

**750.** മിസ്റ്റർ ജോസ് കെ. വട്ടിസ്: താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ബഹുമാന പ്പെട്ട ഗവൺമെണ്ട് സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) തൃശ്ശിവപേരൂർ മുൻസിപ്പാലിറ്റിയിൽനിന്നു ക ക ട ഠ കംഭം ക-ാംനമ്പ രെ റെസലൂഷൻ നമ്പ് ര എന്തിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചാണ്; റെസലൂഷൻ കോപ്പി മേശപ്പുറ ത്തു വെക്കുമോ;

(ബി) ആയതിനു ഗവൺമെണ്ടു കൊടുത്ത മറുപടി എന്താണ്?

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. ജെ. മാത്യു: (എ)മുൻസിപ്പാലിറ്റി കൊച്ചിൻ ടെക്സ് ടെൽസിലേക്ക് കൊടു ത്തുവന്നിരുന്ന ഇലക്ട്രിസിറ്റിയെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു ഇൻഡസ്ട്രിയൽ ഡവലപ്പ്മെണ്ട് കമ്മിറ്റി (Industrial Development Committee) റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ എഴുതിയിരുന്ന ചില അഭിപ്രാ യത്തെപ്പറ്റിയാണ്.

റസലൂഷന്റെ പകർപ്പ് അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിരിക്കുന്നു. *(Please see Appendix I).*

(ബി) ഗവൺമെണ്ടു അതു റിക്കാർഡ് ചെയ്തു.

## BURMAH-SHELL STRIKE

**751. Mr. P. T. Jacob** *(Latin Christians-Mattancheri)*: Will Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether the Management of the Local Burmah Shell Installation complied with the instructions in the Adjudication award given by the Adjudicator;

(b) whether the Mineral Oil Workers' Union has put in any complaint that the instructions have not been complied with in time by the Management;

(c) whether the Hon'ble Chief Justice Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar has found in his Adjudication Award that the discharging of the 25 Malayalee watchmen from the Local Burmah Shell Installation has been without sufficient grounds;

(d) has the Mineral Oil Workers' Union at any time requested the Government that the Management of the Local Burmah Shell Installation should be proceeded against for violating the provisions of the Essential Services Maintenance Proclamation;

(e) does the Government contemplate to proceed against the above Management for the said offence; if not, why?

**Mr. C. J. Mahew** *(Secretary to Government)*:

(a) Yes.

(b) The Secretary of the Mineral Oil Factory Workers' Union wrote to the Labour Commissioner on 18th February 1946 that the discharged Malayalee watchmen had not then been re-instated.

(c) The Adjudicator's award was that the Company did not have reasonable cause for the discontinuance of the employment of the 25 Malayalee watchmen.

(d) Yes, on 22nd September 1945 before the matter was referred to the Adjudicator.

(e) As the whole dispute between the Company and the Union was adjudicated, Government thought it unncessary to proceed against the Company for this particular offence.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly